EXHIBIT B

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY, MARYLAND

				0,
I	ESTATE OF DION LAMAR TAYLOR			100
	c/o Teisha D. Cook	*		
I	Personal Representative	4		
I	3207 West Rogers Avenue Baltimore, MD 21209	*		
۱	Baltimore, WID 21209			
l	Plaintiff,	*		
l	T damagy,			
ı	v.	*	Case No.:	
۱			***************************************	
I	OFFICER TRAE CORBIN	*		
I	(individually, and in his official capacity as			
l	a police officer)	*		
۱	Baltimore County Police Department	*		
۱	700 East Joppa Road	ጭ		
I	Towson, Maryland 21286	*		
I	AND	·		
۱	AND	*	N	
۱	OFFICER GERSHOM BYRNES		22 JRH -1.	120
۱	(individually, and in his official capacity as	*		26.2
	a police officer)		1	
۱	Baltimore County Police Department	*		
۱	700 East Joppa Road		[편] [1]	
۱	Towson, Maryland 21286	*		
I			10	
I	AND	*		
ı	DALTIMODE COUNTY DOLICE	*		
	BALTIMORE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT	•		
۱	Serve On: Legal Department	*		
۱	700 East Joppa Road			
l	Towson, Maryland 21286	*		
	,, ,			
l	AND	*		
	MELISSA R. HYATT	*		
	Chief of Police			
	Baltimore County Police Department	*		
	700 East Joppa Road			
	Towson, Maryland 21286	*		

COLLINS LEGAL GROUP 20 S. Charles Street Suite 901 Baltimore, MD 21201 O: (410) 462-4529 F: (410) 995-7200

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, The Estate of Dion Taylor ("Plaintiff" and/or "Taylor"), by and through Teisha D. Cook, the personal representative of the foregoing Estate, by his attorneys Tiffani S. Collins, Esquire and Collins Legal Group, LLC hereby file this this Complaint against Gershom Byrnes ("Byrnes"), Trae Corbin ("Corbin"), Baltimore County Police Department ("BCPD"), and Melissa Hyatt ("Hyatt"), (collectively, "Defendants") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case.
- 2. Baltimore City is the proper venue for this action pursuant to Maryland Code Ann., Courts & Judicial Proceeding Article §§ 6-201 and 6-202 as the occurrence which gives rise to this suit occurred in Baltimore City.
- Plaintiff has provided timely notice to the appropriate Defendants pursuant to the Local Government Tort Claims Act, Maryland Code Ann., Courts & Judicial Proceeding Article § 5-401.

PARTIES

- 4. Dion Lamar Taylor (hereinafter "Taylor"), the decedent, was a resident of Baltimore City, Maryland at all times relevant to this Complaint.
- 5. Defendant Gershom Byrnes (hereinafter "Defendant Byrnes"), at all times relevant to this Complaint, was a police officer employed by the Baltimore County Police Department. As a police officer, he acted as an agent, servants, and/or employee of the Baltimore County Police Department and acted within the scope of his employment and under the color of state law. Defendant Byrnes is sued in his individual and official capacities.
 - Defendant Trae Corbin (hereinafter "Defendant Corbin"), at all times relevant to

this Complaint, was a police officer employed by the Baltimore County Police Department. As a police officer, he acted as an agent, servants, and/or employee of the Baltimore County Police Department and acted within the scope of his employment and under the color of state law. Defendant Corbin is sued in his individual and official capacities.

- 7. Defendant Melissa R. Hyatt (hereinafter "Hyatt") is and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Chief of Police for Baltimore County Police Department. Defendant Hyatt is and was the commanding and supervising officer of Defendants Corbin and Byrnes.
- 8. Defendant Baltimore County Police Department (hereinafter "BCPD") is and was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, a law enforcement agency in Baltimore County Maryland.

 Defendant BCPD maintains its primary place of business in Baltimore County Maryland.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 9. On January 6, 2019, Mr. Taylor was a rear seat passenger in a vehicle being pursued by BCPD on Northern Parkway in Baltimore City, Maryland.
- 10. Defendants Corbin and Byrnes, BCPD officers acting under the direction of Defendant Hyatt, were operating separate BCPD cruisers pursuing the vehicle in which Mr. Taylor was a passenger
- 11. As the vehicles approached the intersection of Northern Parkway and Highgate Drive, the vehicle in which Mr. Taylor was riding slowed down nearly to a stop and Mr. Taylor attempted to exit the vehicle.
- 12. Before Mr. Taylor could get completely out of the vehicle Defendant Byrnes, unlawfully using his police cruiser as a weapon, rammed his cruiser into the rear of the vehicle. The force of this impact was so severe Mr. Taylor was thrown back inside of the vehicle and Defendant Byrnes vehicle was immediately disabled.

- 3. After the collision, Mr. Taylor exited the vehicle and begin to run south on Highgate Drive.
- 14. While Mr. Taylor was running, Defendant Corbin, still operating his BCPD cruiser, turned onto Highgate Drive to pursue Mr. Taylor who was now on foot.
- 15. Defendant Corbin was traveling in the wrong direction on a one-way street with parked vehicles on both sides.
- 16. As her pursued Mr. Taylor, Defendant Corbin, unlawfully used his police cruiser as a weapon as he struck Mr. Taylor with the cruiser.
- 17. When Mr. Taylor did not stop running, Defendant Corbin again, unlawfully used his cruiser to strike Mr. Taylor, and subsequently knocked Mr. Taylor to the ground before running him over.
- 18. Defendant Corbin pinned Mr. Taylor to the ground under his cruiser before bringing his cruiser to a stop.
 - 19. Mr. Taylor was pronounced deceased at the scene.
- 20. As a result of the Defendants' misconduct, Mr. Taylor sustained severe physical, emotional and psychological injuries, and ultimately death.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I: Maryland Declaration of Rights Article 24 – Excessive Force (All Defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

Defendants violated the Plaintiff's civil rights and due process rights as set forth in the Declaration of Rights of the Maryland State Constitution. They committed intentional acts of misconduct, and employed excessive force upon Plaintiff, by unreasonably striking the body

of Plaintiff with a vehicle without a lawful justification under circumstances where no reasonable officer would have assaulted Plaintiff.

- 22. Defendants Brynes and Corbin acted under the direction of Defendant Hyatt as BCPD's agents, servants, and/or employees, when they employed excessive force by assaulting and ultimately killing Mr. Taylor.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of these acts, Mr. Taylor suffered severe physical, emotional and psychological injuries, and ultimately death as previously set forth herein.
- 24. Defendants' conduct lacked any legal rationale and premised on actual malice and ill will.
- 25. Defendants' intended to harm Plaintiff when they employed excessive force by assaulting and ultimately killing Mr. Taylor without a lawful justification.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT II: Battery (Against Defendants Brynes and Corbin)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

- Defendants' unreasonably, unlawfully, and without legal justification assaulted and then killed Mr. Taylor.
 - 27. Mr. Taylor did not consent to being assaulted or killed.
- 28. Defendants' conduct lacked any legal rationale and was undertaken maliciously, with the intent to inflict an injury upon Mr. Taylor. Defendants acted with improper motivation

and with ill will.

- 29. Defendants acted under the direction of Defendant Hyatt as BCPD's agents, servants, and/or employees, when they assaulted and killed Plaintiff.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of these acts, Mr. Taylor sustained the severe physical, emotional and psychological injuries, and ultimately death as set forth herein.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT III: Civil Conspiracy (Against Defendants Brynes and Corbin)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein

- Defendants agreed, amongst themselves, and with others, to unlawfully conceal the truth about the circumstances surrounding Mr. Taylor's injuries, to fabricate a story about actions taken by Plaintiff during the arrest and to obstruct the administration of justice.
- 32. Defendants Brynes and Corbin had an independent stake in the outcome this conspiracy because they sought to protect themselves from personal criminal liability and personal civil liability regarding the unlawful arrest and illegal use of excessive force against Plaintiff.
- 33. Plaintiff suffered the damages previously alleged herein as a direct and proximate result of this conspiracy.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in

punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT IV: Negligent Hiring, Training & Supervision (Against Defendants Hyatt and Baltimore County Police Department)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

- 34. Defendants Hyatt and BCPD maintained a duty to use reasonable care in hiring, training, and supervising individuals competent and fit to perform the duties of a police officer.
- 35. Defendants Hyatt and BCPD knew or should have known that the identified and unidentified officers in this Complaint proved unfit for their assigned duties because they unlawfully and unconstitutionally employed excessive force, arrested, and imprisoned the Plaintiff
- 36. Defendants Hyatt and BCPD knew or should have known that the identified and unidentified officers in this Complaint would come into contact with the public. By virtue of Defendants Hyatt and BCPD 's negligent hiring, training, and supervision, the risk that the identified and unidentified officers would violate the constitutional rights of Mr. Taylor was foreseeable.
- 37. As a result of Defendants Hyatt and BCPD's negligence in hiring, training, and supervising the identified and unidentified officers, Plaintiff suffered severe physical, emotional and psychological injuries and ultimately death.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT V: Gross Negligence (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

- 38. Defendants engaged in intentional, willful, and wanton misconduct with a reckless disregard for human life as well as the Plaintiff's rights. They inflicted bodily injury upon Mr. Taylor with utter indifference when they used their police cruisers as weapons to assault and ultimately kill him.
- 39. As a result of these acts, Plaintiff suffer physical, emotional, mental, and financial injuries and ultimately death.
- Defendants' conduct lacked legal justification and remained motivated by ill will and actual malice.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT VI: Negligence (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

The Defendants owed Mr. Taylor a duty to exercise due care while enforcing the law and to avoid causing unjustified physical or mental injury. Defendants also owed Mr.

Taylor a duty to detain and arrest Plaintiff in a safe and reasonable manner.

- The Defendants breached their duties by using their vehicles as weapons to assault and ultimately kill Mr. Taylor.
- As a result of these acts, Plaintiff sustained physical, emotional, mental, and financial injuries, including, but not limited to, abrasions, contusions, nerve damage, internal organ injury, pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, disgrace, loss of dignity, costs and expenses of medical and legal proceedings, lost wages, and other expenses.
- The Defendants' negligence proximately caused the injuries that Mr. Taylor suffered. They solely caused these injuries without any fault or contributory negligence of the Plaintiff.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT VII: Pattern or Practice of Constitutional Violations (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

- 45. The Defendants in this Complaint acted under the color of state law when they engaged in a pattern and practice of constitutional violations. Defendants violated the Mr. Taylor's constitutional rights by employing excessive force, and unlawfully depriving them of him life, liberty and property. The Defendants' conduct has been pervasive and persisted for years.
- 46. Defendant BCPD approved or condoned the actions of the identified officers listed herein.
- 47. As a result of these acts, Mr. Taylor suffered severe physical, emotional and psychological injuries and ultimately death, including, but not limited to, abrasions, contusions,

nerve damage, internal organ injury, pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, disgrace, loss of dignity, lost wages and other expenses.

wherefore, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

COUNT VIII: Maryland Declaration of Rights Article 24 – Deprivation of Liberty & Property (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates and adopts each allegation contained in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

- 48. The Defendants violated the Plaintiff's civil rights and due process rights as set forth in the Declaration of Rights of the Maryland State Constitution. They committed intentional acts of misconduct, employed excessive force upon Plaintiff, illegally detained and arrested Plaintiff, falsely imprisoned Plaintiff, and unlawfully deprived Plaintiff of their liberty and property.
- The identified and unidentified officers in this Complaint acted as the BPD's and the State's agents, servants, and/or employees, when they employed excessive force, arrested, detained, and imprisoned the Plaintiff without reasonable suspicion or probable cause to believe they had committed any criminal offenses. Further, the Defendants employed excessive force, detained, arrested, and imprisoned the Plaintiff without legal basis or justification, and Plaintiff did not have the freedom to leave during the detention, arrest, and imprisonment.
- 50. As a result of these acts, Mr. Taylor suffered severe physical, emotional and psychological injuries and ultimately death, including, but not limited to, abrasions, contusions, nerve damage, internal organ injury, pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, disgrace, loss of dignity, lost wages and other expenses. Moreover, Defendants deprived Mr. Taylor of his life, liberty and property without cause, in contravention of the Maryland State Constitution.

Case 1 22-cv-00634-SAG Document 1-3 Filed 03/14/22 Page 12 of 16

51. The Defendants' conduct lacked any legal rationale and premised on actual malice and ill will. They intended to harm Plaintiff when they employed excessive force to assault and ultimately kill Mr. Taylor.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands this Court enter against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount greater than \$75,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,000,000.00 in punitive damages, plus attorneys' fees, costs and expenses of this lawsuit, and other such relief that this Court deems proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Collins Legal Group, LLC

Tiffani & Collins, Esquire AIS(No.: 0812180179

20 S. Charles Street, Suite 901 Baltimore, Maryland 21201

O: (410) 462-4529 F: (410) 995-7200

Tiffani@tcollinslaw.com
Counsel forE/O Dion Taylor

Case 1 22-cv-00634-SAG Document 1-	-3 Filed 03/14/22 Page 13 of 16
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FO	OR BALTIMORE CITY, MARYLAND
ESTATE OF DION LAMAR TAYLOR	*
Plaintiff,	*
v.	* Case No.:
OFFICER GERSHOM BYRNES, et al.	*
Defendants.	* * * * * * *

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, by undersigned counsel, hereby demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted, Gollins Legal Group, LLC

Comins Legal Gloup, LLC

Tiffarii & Collins, Esquire AIS No.: 0812180179

20 S. Charles Street, Suite 901 Baltimore, Maryland 21201

O: (410) 462-4529 F: (410) 995-7200

Tiffani@tcollinslaw.com Counsel for E/O Dion Taylor

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR Baltimore City (City or County) CIVIL - NON-DOMESTIC CASE INFORMATION REPORT DIRECTIONS Plaintiff: This Information Report must be completed and attached to the complaint filed with the Clerk of Court unless your case is exempted from the requirement by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 2-111(a). Defendant: You must file an Information Report as required by Rule 2-323(h). THIS INFORMATION REPORT CANNOT BE ACCEPTED AS A PLEADING FORM FILED BY: DPLAINTIFF DEFENDANT CASE NUMBER CASE NAME E/O Dion Taylor Ofc Trae Corbin, et. al Plaintiff Defendant PARTY'S NAME: E/O Dion Taylor c/o Teisha Cook PHONE: PARTY'S ADDRESS: 3207 West Rogers Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21209 PARTY'S E-MAIL: If represented by an attorney: PARTY'S ATTORNEY'S NAME: Tiffani S. Collins, Esquire PARTY'S ATTORNEY'S ADDRESS 20 S Charles Street, Suite 901, Baltimore, MD 21201 N PARTY'S ATTORNEY'S E-MAIL: tiffani@tcollinslaw.com JURY DEMAND? Yes No RELATED CASE PENDING? □Yes □No If yes, Case #(s), if known: ANTICIPATED LENGTH OF TRIAL?: hours days 10 PLEADING TYPE Original ☐ Administrative Appeal ☐ Appeal New Case: Existing Case: Post-Judgment ☐ Amendment If filing in an existing case, skip Case Category/ Subcategory section - go to Relief section. IF NEW CASE: CASE CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY (Check one box.) TORTS ☐ Government PUBLIC LAW Constructive Trust Insurance Product Liability Asbestos ☐ Contempt ☐ Attorney Grievance Assault and Battery ☐Bond Forfeiture Remission ☐ Deposition Notice Business and Commercial **PROPERTY** Dist Ct Mtn Appeal Conspiracy Conversion ☐ Adverse Possession ☐ Financial ☐ County/Mncpl Code/Ord Breach of Lease ☐ Election Law ☐ Grand Jury/Petit Jury Defamation Eminent Domain/Condemn. Miscellaneous False Arrest/Imprisonment Distress/Distrain ☐ Perpetuate Testimony/Evidence ☐ Environment Ejectment Forcible Entry/Detainer □ Fraud Prod. of Documents Req. Error Coram Nobis Lead Paint - DOB of Habeas Corpus Receivership Youngest Plt: ☐ Foreclosure Sentence Transfer ☐ Mandamus Loss of Consortium ☐ Commercial Set Aside Deed Prisoner Rights Special Adm. - Atty Subpoena Issue/Quash ☐ Malicious Prosecution ☐ Residential ☐ Public Info. Act Records Currency or Vehicle ☐ Malpractice-Medical ☐ Quarantine/Isolation Deed of Trust ☐ Trust Established ☐ Malpractice-Professional ☐ Writ of Certiorari ☐ Land Installments Trustee Substitution/Removal ☐ Misrepresentation Lien Mortgage ☐ Witness Appearance-Compel ☐ Motor Tort EMPLOYMENT Negligence Nuisance PEACE ORDER □ ADA Right of Redemption Peace Order Conspiracy ☐ Statement Condo ☐ Forfeiture of Property / Premises Liability Product Liability Specific Performance **EQUITY** □ EEO/HR ☐ Declaratory Judgment FLSA Personal Item Toxic Tort Trespass Wrongful Death Equitable Řelieř □ FMLA Fraudulent Conveyance ☐ Injunctive Relief Landlord-Tenant ☐ Workers' Compensation ☐ Mandamus Lis Pendens ☐ Wrongful Termination ☐ Mechanic's Lien CONTRACT OTHER Ownership Partition/Sale in Lieu INDEPENDENT ☐ Asbestos ☐ Accounting PROCEEDINGS ☐ Breach ☐ Friendly Suit Quiet Title Rent Escrow Business and Commercial Confessed Judgment Assumption of Jurisdiction Grantor in Possession ☐ Authorized Sale ☐ Maryland Insurance Administration Return of Seized Property (Cont'd) ☐ Attorney Appointment ☐ Miscellaneous Right of Redemption ☐ Construction ☐ Body Attachment Issuance ☐ Specific Transaction ☐ Tenant Holding Over Debt Commission Issuance ☐ Structured Settlements ☐ Fraud

CC-DCM-002 (Rev. 04/2017)

IF NEW OR EXISTING CASE: RELIEF (Check All that Apply)						
Abatement Administrative Appointment Arbitration Asset Determine	Earnings Withholding e Action of Receiver Enrollment Expungement Findings of Fact ination Injunction st Order Judgment-Affidavit g Judgment-Attorney Fee Judgment-Confessed ees Injungment-Consent Judgment-Declaratory	☐ Judgment-Interest ☐ Judgment-Summary ☐ Liability ☐ Oral Examination ☐ Order ☐ Ownership of Property ☐ Partition of Property	Return of Property Sale of Property Specific Performance Writ-Error Coram Nobi Writ-Execution Writ-Garnish Property Writ-Garnish Wages Writ-Habeas Corpus Writ-Mandamus Writ-Possession			
may not be used	Liability above, mark one of the following for any purpose other than Track Ass	ignment.				
ULiability is conc	eded. Liability is not conceded, but is	not seriously in dispute. Exitiat	onity is seriously in dispute.			
MONET	TARY DAMAGES (Do not include A	Attorney's Fees, Interest, o	r Court Costs)			
☐ Under \$10,	000	\$30,000 - \$100,000	Over \$100,000			
☐ Medical Bil	ls \$	Property	Damages \$			
	ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION INFORMATION					
Is this case app A. Mediation B. Arbitration	ropriate for referral to an ADR proces: ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No	s under Md. Rule 17-101? (C. Settlement Confer D. Neutral Evaluation	ence □Yes □No			
	SPECIAL REQ	UIREMENTS				
☐ If a Spoken Language Interpreter is needed, check here and attach form CC-DC-041						
☐ If you require an accommodation for a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, check here and attach form CC-DC-049						
	ESTIMATED LEN					
With the excepti TRIAL.	on of Baltimore County and Baltimo		stimated LENGTH OF			
KIAL.	(Case will be tracke					
	☐ 1/2 day of trial or less	☐ 3 days of trial time				
	1 day of trial time	☐ More than 3 days of the	rial time			
	2 days of trial time					
В	USINESS AND TECHNOLOGY CA	ASE MANAGEMENT PR	OGRAM			
For all jurisdict	ions, if Business and Technology trac attach a duplicate copy of complaint					
O E	xpedited- Trial within 7 months of Defendant's response	☐ Standard - Trial wit Defendant's				
	EMERGENCY REL	IEF REQUESTED				

	COMPLEX SCIENCE AND/OR TECHNOLOGICAL CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (ASTAR)						
Md.		POSES OF POSSIBLE SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT TO ASTAR RESOURCES JUDGES under 2, attach a duplicate copy of complaint and check whether assignment to an ASTAR is requested.					
		Expedited - Trial within 7 months of Defendant's response Defendant's response Defendant's response					
	IF YOU ARE FILING YOUR COMPLAINT IN BALTIMORE CITY, OR BALTIMORE COUNTY, PLEASE FILL OUT THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW.						
CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY (CHECK ONLY ONE)							
	Expedite	Trial 60 to 120 days from notice. Non-jury matters.					
	Civil-Sho	hort Trial 210 days from first answer.					
X	Civil-Sta	tandard Trial 360 days from first answer.					
	Custom	Scheduling order entered by individual judge.					
	Asbestos	Special scheduling order.					
	Lead Pair	nt Fill in: Birth Date of youngest plaintiff					
	Tax Sale	Foreclosures Special scheduling order.					
	Mortgage	Foreclosures No scheduling order.					
		CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY					
 T)	Expedi rial Date-9						
(Tı	Standa rial Date-2	1					
	Extended S rial Date-3						
(T1	Comp rial Date-4						
January 4, 2021 Sit - Coll.							
	20 South	Charles Street, Suite 901 Charles Street, Suite 901 Tiffani S. Collins, Esquire					
Balti	more City	MD 21201 Printed Name					
		I .					